



The Hindu Important News Articles & Editorial For UPSC CSE

Saturday, 22 March, 2025

Edition: International Table of Contents

Page 03	Civil society groups call on govt. to
Syllabus : GS 2 : Indian Polity	not water down RTI Act
Page 05	Do not publish NIRF ranking for
Syllabus : GS 2 : Indian Polity	2025: HC to Education Ministry
Page 05	There is progress on GM food
Syllabus : Prelims fact	crops, says official
Page 09	Red-hot Byadgi chilli stings
Syllabus : Prelims fact	farmers as prices plummet
In News	ASEAN
Page 06 : Editorial Analysis:	India's marginalised Parliament in
Syllabus : GS 2 : Indian Polity	budgetary affairs

GURUKULAM *A* IAS

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





Page 03 : GS 2 : Indian Polity

Over 30 civil society organisations, led by the National Council for People's Right to Information (NCPRI), have urged the Union government to refrain from diluting the Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005. Their primary concern is an amendment passed in 2023 that, once implemented, would significantly restrict access to government-held information.

Civil society groups call on govt. to not water down RTI Act

Aroon Deep NEW DELHI

Over 30 civil society organisations are urging the Union government to avoid gutting the Right to Information Act, 2005, the National Council for People's Right to Information (NCPRI) announced on Friday. An amendment to the RTI Act passed in 2023 which has not yet come into effect - would drastically limit the amount of information that government agencies would be required to share, activists said, upping pressure on the government to keep the law intact.

The issue is around Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, which allows government agencies to refuse applications for information if they relate to an individual's personal information. "Studies show that this exemption is among the most common grounds to refuse information", Nikhil Dey, an NCPRI coconvenor, said at a press The exemption on providing personal information is on track to become a blanket prohibition

conference on Friday.

However, the section has a proviso, which allows for personal information to be disclosed if there is public interest in doing so. In 2023, the Union government, in spite of protests, removed the proviso through an Act of Parliament. This was done through the last section of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023.

Since the subordinate legislation for kicking in the DPDP Act has not yet been notified, the amendment has not kicked in. The draft DPDP Rules, 2025, should not notify this amendment, and Parliament should pass a law undoing the amendment from the Act as well, said Anjali Bhardwaj, co-convenor of the NCPRI.

Key Issue: Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act



- Current Provision: Section 8(1)(j) allows the government to refuse information requests if they pertain to an individual's personal details. However, a proviso permits disclosure if public interest outweighs the right to privacy.
- Amendment Impact: In 2023, the Union government removed this proviso via the Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act, 2023, effectively making the exemption a blanket prohibition on sharing personal information, even if public interest is at stake.
- Current Status: Since the DPDP Act's subordinate legislation has not been notified, the amendment is yet to take effect.

Civil Society Concerns

GURUKULAM 💥 IAS

- Transparency at Risk: The amendment may weaken accountability as government officials could withhold information citing privacy concerns.
- Frequent Misuse of Exemptions: Studies indicate that Section 8(1)(j) is already one of the most commonly used grounds for rejecting RTI applications.
- Call for Reversal: Activists demand that the DPDP Rules, 2025, exclude the amendment, and Parliament must pass a law restoring the proviso.

Conclusion

The proposed changes to the RTI Act could curtail access to crucial government information, weakening transparency and accountability. Civil society groups continue to push for legislative intervention to uphold the spirit of the RTI Act and ensure the right to information is not compromised.

UPSC Mains Practice Question

Ques:Right to Information (RTI) is a crucial tool for ensuring transparency and accountability in governance. Discuss the impact of recent amendments to the RTI Act on citizens' access to information. **(250 words)**





Page 05: GS 2:Indian Polity

The Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court has restrained the Education Ministry and the National Board of Accreditation (NBA) from publishing the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) rankings for 2025. The order came in response to a public interest litigation (PIL) filed by a petitioner who challenged the credibility and transparency of the ranking system.

Do not publish NIRF ranking for 2025: HC to Education Ministry

The Hindu Bureau MADURAI

The Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court on Thursday restrained the Education Ministry and the National Board of Accreditation (NBA) from publishing the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) ranking for 2025.

The court was hearing a public interest litigation petition filed by C. Chellamuthu from Dindigul district of Tamil Nadu.

He claimed that the ranking was without any basis and was misleading students.

The NIRF was launched by the NBA on the direction of the Education Ministry for evaluating higher education institutions.

The NBA publishes the ranking every year. Institutions are evaluated on five broad categories – teaching, learning and resources; research and professional practice; graduation outcomes; outreach and inclusivity; and perception – the petition said.

The NBA directs institutions to upload data on student and staff strength, staff salaries, graduation index, placement details, funds received for reNIRF ranking is done based on the data given by institutions without verification, says petitioner

search, and other parameters on its web portal. Based on this data, the ranking is awarded without further verification or auditing, the petitioner said.

Comparing it with the National Assessment and Accreditation Council's (NAAC) Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR), the petitioner said the NAAC-AQAR documents were audited and checked by expert committees sent by the NAAC to the institutions.

The petitioner sought a direction to the NBA to publish the NIRF ranking after comparing and verifying the data submitted by the institutions with the data in the government records and to disclose the calculation method.

A Division Bench of Justices J. Nisha Banu and S. Srimathy restrained the authorities from publishing the ranking for 2025. The court sought a counter affidavit and posted the matter to April 24.

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





Key Issues Raised in the Petition

- Lack of Verification The petitioner argued that institutions self-report data such as student strength, faculty numbers, salaries, research funding, placements, and graduation outcomes without any external audit or verification.
- Misleading Nature of Rankings Without an independent validation process, the ranking may not accurately reflect the quality of institutions, potentially misleading students and stakeholders.
- Comparison with NAAC Accreditation The petitioner pointed out that, unlike NAAC's Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR), where expert committees audit data, NIRF rankings rely on unaudited information.
- Demand for Transparency The petitioner sought a verification mechanism that cross-checks submitted data with government records and also called for the disclosure of the calculation method used in the rankings.

Court's Response

- A Division Bench of Justices J. Nisha Banu and S. Srimathy put a temporary hold on publishing the NIRF 2025 rankings.
- The authorities were directed to file a counter affidavit justifying the ranking process.
- The next hearing is scheduled for April 24, 2025.

Way Forward

- Strengthening the verification process for NIRF rankings by incorporating third-party audits.
- Enhancing data transparency by making ranking methodologies and raw data public.
- Ensuring alignment with international best practices in university rankings.

The court's intervention raises critical questions about the credibility of institutional rankings, reinforcing the need for data validation and transparency in national ranking frameworks.

UPSC Mains Practice Question

Ques :Critically analyze the role of the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) in assessing the quality of higher education institutions in India. How can its credibility and transparency be improved?**(250 words)**





Page 05: Prelims fact

The issue of genetically modified (GM) food crops in India has gained renewed attention as the Supreme Court prepares to hear petitions challenging the Environment Ministry's approval of GM mustard in 2022. The Department of Biotechnology (DBT) has stated that progress is being made in this area, even as legal and safety concerns persist.

There is progress on GM food crops, says official

Remark comes amid apprehensions over the Environment Ministry's approval for commercial cultivation of GM mustard in 2022; Supreme Court is set to hear pleas on the same next month

Jacob Koshy NEW DELHI

head of a fresh series of hearings in the Supreme Court next month over genetically modified (GM) food crops, the Department of Biotechnology (DBT) said there was "progress" on this front.

"The matter is *sub judice* but GM mustard stories are being taken up again," DBT Secretary Rajesh Gokhale said at a biotechnology event on Friday. The DBT, which has for years funded research and development into transgenic and GM food crops, comes under the Ministry of Science and Technology.

At present, Bt cotton is the only genetically modified crop allowed to be cultivated. Though the Environment Ministry gave its conditional go-ahead for commercial cultivation of GM mustard in 2022, it has not taken off as it was challenged in the Supreme Court.

Science Minister Jitendra Singh who was also at the event, said, "There is so much dynamism in bio-



Awaiting hearing: Petitioners have challenged the Environment Ministry's approval for cultivation of GM mustard. R.V. MOORTHY

technology development that we cannot look at tomorrow through the prism of today."

Hearing from April 15

On March 6, a three-judge Bench of the Supreme Court said it will begin hearing from April 15 petitions challenging the Environment Ministry's approval for cultivation of GM mustard. The court has told all parties to file their written submissions within a week.

A two-judge Bench of the top court, on July 23, 2024, gave a split verdict on the validity of the Centre's 2022 decision granting conditional approval for the environmental release of GM mustard crop. However, it also directed the Centre to formulate a "national policy" on GM crops. Mr. Gokhale told The Hindu that the DBT had provided "technical inputs" to the Union Environment Ministry, which was formulating the policy "nodal and was the coordinator".

Safety concerns

GM mustard, developed using public funds, was the

first transgenic food crop to be approved for farmer fields by the Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee, a scientific body. However, it wasn't approved for cultivation following safety objections by activist groups.

However, the use of biotechnology to improve food crops – as in the case of GM mustard – is a key focus of the government's BioE3 policy.

This involves manufacturing new kinds of enzymes, pharmacueticals and agricultural products while applying techniques, including genetic engineering.

A report released by the DBT at the event on Friday on the current state of India's 'bio-economy' says that bio-agriculture accounts for 8.1% – the smallest share – of the \$165.7 billion bio-economy in India.

"Valued at \$13.5 billion, this segment enhances agricultural productivity and resilience through genetically modified crops like Bt Cotton and precision agriculture technologies," the report notes.

GURUKULAM VIAS Gateway For Competitive Exams UPSC - TNPSC - BANKING - SSC/RAILWAYS/INSURANCE

THE ME HINDU

Daily News Analysis

Current Status of GM Crops in India

- Bt Cotton remains the only GM crop approved for commercial cultivation in India.
- The Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC) granted conditional approval for GM mustard in 2022, but cultivation has been delayed due to legal challenges.
- The Supreme Court is set to hear petitions against GM mustard's approval starting April 15, 2025.
- A previous ruling in July 2024 resulted in a split verdict, with the court directing the government to formulate a national policy on GM crops.

Key Issues in the Debate

Scientific and Economic Benefits

- GM crops can improve agricultural productivity and enhance resilience against pests and climate change.
- The government's BioE3 policy promotes biotechnology for food crops, enzyme production, and pharmaceuticals.
- According to the DBT, bio-agriculture contributes only 8.1% to India's \$165.7 billion bioeconomy, indicating potential for growth.

Safety and Environmental Concerns

- Activists and environmental groups have raised concerns about biosafety, potential contamination of native species, and long-term health risks.
- There are calls for more transparency and independent risk assessments before approving GM crops.

Regulatory and Legal Uncertainty

- \circ $\;$ India lacks a clear national policy on GM crops, leading to legal disputes.
- The Supreme Court's final ruling could determine the future course of GM food crop approvals.

Way Forward

- Developing a comprehensive national policy on GM crops to balance scientific advancements with safety regulations.
- Strengthening independent regulatory mechanisms to ensure thorough biosafety assessments.
- Encouraging public awareness and stakeholder consultations to build trust in GM technology.
- The Supreme Court's decision will be a turning point in India's agricultural biotechnology sector, influencing policy direction, scientific research, and public perception of GM crops.

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





UPSC Mains Practice Question

Ques : Discuss the role of genetically modified (GM) crops in enhancing agricultural productivity in India. What are the key challenges in their adoption? **(250 words)**



No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





Page 09:Prelims fact

Byadgi chilli farmers are facing financial distress as prices have plummeted due to oversupply, stockpiling, and stricterexport regulations.



No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





- o Grown primarily in Byadgi, Karnataka, and surrounding regions.
- o Cultivated in well-drained red and black soil under warm climatic conditions.

Characteristics:

- Known for its deep red color and wrinkled appearance.
- Mild in spiciness but rich in color due to high pigment content (Capsanthin).

Agricultural Practices:

- Requires moderate irrigation and well-managed pest control.
- \circ $\;$ Harvesting takes place from December to March.

Economic Importance:

- Widely used in spice blends, oleoresin extraction, and food coloring.
- Major export commodity, but recent price fluctuations have affected farmers.

Challenges:

- Export rejections due to pesticide residue concerns.
- Price volatility due to oversupply and stockpiling.

GURUKULAM

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





In News : ASEAN

The 14th ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting-Plus (ADMM-Plus) Experts' Working Group on Counter-Terrorism (EWG on CT) was recently held in New Delhi.

About the Meeting

- Table-top counter-terrorism exercise to be held in Malaysia (2026) and a field training exercise in India (2027) under the ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting-Plus (ADMM-Plus) Experts' Working Group on Counter-Terrorism (EWG on CT).
- India and Malaysia have assumed co-chairmanship of EWG on CT (2024-2027).
- The European Union (EU) hosted a workshop in New Delhi on preventing and countering violent extremism, emphasising India-EU counterterrorism cooperation.

What is ASEAN?

- The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) is a regional intergovernmental organisation aimed at political, economic, and security cooperation.
 - **Established:** August 8, 1967, in Bangkok, Thailand with the signing of the ASEAN Declaration (Bangkok Declaration) by Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, and Thailand.
 - Motto: "One Vision, One Identity, One Community."
 - Headquarters: Jakarta, Indonesia.
 - Member States (10 Nations):
 - Founding Members (1967): Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand.
 - Later Additions: Brunei (1984), Vietnam (1995), Laos & Myanmar (1997), Cambodia (1999).
 - Economic & Demographic Strength:
 - **Total Population:** 662 million (2022).
 - Combined GDP: \$3.2 trillion (2022).

Institutional Mechanism of ASEAN

- ASEAN Summit: Meets annually to discuss regional issues and set policy directions. Chaired by a rotating presidency.
- ASEAN Coordinating Council (ACC): Oversees implementation of ASEAN agreements and decisions.
- ASEAN Secretariat: Supports and facilitates ASEAN's activities and initiatives.
- ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF): Platform for dialogue on political and security issues among ASEAN members and their dialogue partners. India joined in 1996.
- **Decision-Making Process:** Based on consultation and consensus.

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





ASEAN-India Relations

- India became a Sectoral Dialogue Partner of ASEAN in 1992 and a Full Dialogue Partner in 1996.
- bined the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF) in 1996.
- India-ASEAN Free Trade Agreement (FTA) was signed in 2009 (Goods) and 2014 (Services & Investments).
- ASEAN-India Strategic Partnership was established in 2012.
- India actively participates in ADMM-Plus (ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting-Plus).

ASEAN Future Forum

- Proposed by Vietnam in 2023 (43rd ASEAN Summit).
- A common platform for ASEAN member states and partners to share ideas and policy recommendations.
- India is a founding member.

GURUKULAM 💥 IAS

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.



Page : 08Editorial Analysis India's marginalised Parliament in budgetary affairs

he Budget is more than just a financial statement, as it reflects a nation's priorities, economic vision and governance philosophy. Across democracies, parliaments exercise the power of the purse, which is critical in shaping national budgets, ensuring fiscal discipline, and promoting transparency. However, in India, parliamentary influence over the Budget remains minimal. The executive-driven process side lines legislators, leaving them with little opportunity to effectively shape or scrutinise financial policies. Parliament must evolve from being a mere approver of the government's financial proposals to actively shaping economic policy. This requires critical institutional reforms, including pre-Budget discussions and establishing a Parliamentary Budget Office (PBO).

The Budget, a pillar of democracy

Budgeting is a fundamental democratic exercise that allocates public resources and defines the government's social and economic priorities. Historically, the power of the purse has been hard-won, with legislatures across the world asserting their right to oversee public finance. From the British Parliament's financial control in the 19th century to the fiscal policies of modern democracies, legislative scrutiny has been essential in preventing executive overreach.

Globally, the degree of legislative influence over budgets varies. Some parliaments actively draft and modify budget proposals, while others act as rubber stamps. Certain legislatures hold in-depth committee discussions on budgetary allocations, whereas others rely on centralised finance committees. However, one common thread remains – Budget transparency and parliamentary engagement correlate with better social outcomes and economic stability.

Parliament's role in Budget formulation and scrutiny in India is mainly ceremonial. The Finance Ministry single-handedly crafts the Budget, keeping even Cabinet Ministers uninformed until its presentation in the Lok Sabha. Unlike other legislative Bills, the Budget proposal is not subjected to thorough cabinet discussions before being introduced in Parliament. The executive monopoly over financial planning results in fragmented debates and limited oversight, weakening the core tenets of representative democracy.



- SSC/RAILWAYS/INSURANCE

GURUKULAM MAKE IAS

Vinod Bhanu is the Executive Director of the Centre for Legislative Research and Advocacy (CLRA), New Delhi

The existing

diminishes the

role of elected

representatives,

undermining

accountability

democratic

budgetary

process

Moreover, the Rajya Sabha, despite its democratic credentials, has no substantive role in Budget discussions. Ironically, while India permits a Finance Minister to be a Rajya Sabha member, they lack the ability to vote on their (own) Budget proposals in the Lok Sabha. This absence of budgetary bicameralism contrasts sharply with the British House of Lords, which wields some influence over financial legislation despite being an unelected body.

The decline of parliamentary authority over budgetary matters is evident in the poor quality and brevity of debates and the ineffective scrutiny by subject committees. Parliamentarians lack the power to amend or significantly influence budget proposals, effectively reducing their role to passive approval. This status quo is neither democratic nor conducive to accountability.

Pre-Budget discussions

Two key reforms must be implemented for Parliament to reclaim its rightful role in Budget-making: introducing pre-budget discussions and creating a Parliamentary Budget Office.

Parliament should institutionalise pre-Budget discussions during the monsoon session to ensure meaningful engagement in the Budget process. A dedicated five to seven-day discussion period would allow legislators to assess the nation's fiscal health, outline Budget priorities, and present a broad economic framework for the government's consideration. Such discussions would also encourage better coordination among subject committees, enhancing their ability to provide informed input.

Pre-Budget debates would democratise the Budget-making process, allowing elected representatives to voice public concerns, suggest equitable resource allocation, and engage in policy deliberations. More importantly, they would facilitate greater public involvement, fostering transparency and trust in financial governance.

Scepticism about active legislative involvement in budgeting often stems from concerns over fiscal discipline. Some economists argue that empowering legislatures may lead to populist spending and weakened financial prudence. However, placing unchecked faith in the executive to act in the public interest is naive. Regardless of ideology, no government has ever voluntarily ensured economic justice without oversight. A balanced approach, where the executive retains financial discretion but remains answerable to Parliament, is the hallmark of a healthy democracy.

A crucial aspect of Budget reform is in strengthening Parliament's analytical and research capabilities. India lacks an institutional mechanism that provides legislators with independent and non-partisan Budget analysis. Establishing a Parliamentary Budget Office (PBO) would bridge this gap as there would be data-driven insights and expert economic forecasts. Modelled on institutions such as the U.S. Congressional Budget Office and similar bodies in Australia, Canada and the United Kingdom, a well-structured PBO in India would play a vital role in analysing government spending, revenue projections, and fiscal policies. It would conduct independent economic forecasts, assess the fiscal impact of proposed policies, and evaluate medium-term and long-term budgetary trends.

Additionally, the PBO would offer policy briefs to parliamentarians, enhancing informed decision-making. Rather than encroaching upon the executive's role, it would complement it by ensuring legislative scrutiny is backed by objective research. Such an institution would significantly enhance Parliament's capacity to hold the government accountable and foster evidence-based policy discussions.

Reclaiming parliamentary authority

The current budgetary process diminishes the role of elected representatives, undermining democratic accountability. By integrating pre-Budget discussions and establishing a PBO, Parliament can transition from being a passive recipient of financial proposals to an active budget-influencing institution.

These reforms are procedural adjustments and fundamental steps towards strengthening representative democracy. They would ensure public finance decisions reflect collective deliberation rather than executive fiat. A robust parliamentary engagement in budgeting would ultimately lead to more equitable economic policies, greater transparency, and a financial governance framework that genuinely serves the people's interests.

THE MARKE HINDU

Daily News Analysis

Paper 03<mark>:Indian Polity</mark>

UPSC Mains Practice Question:Critically examine the role of Parliament in India's budgetary process. How does the executive-driven nature of budget-making impact fiscal transparency and democratic accountability?

Context :

- A national budget is far more than a mere financial statement; it is a reflection of a country's economic vision, governance philosophy, and policy priorities.
- In democratic systems, the principle of parliamentary control over public finance is fundamental, ensuring fiscal discipline, transparency, and accountability.
- However, in India, the role of Parliament in shaping the Budget remains minimal, with an executivedriven process that sidelines legislators.
- To rectify this imbalance, critical institutional reforms, such as pre-Budget discussions and the establishment of a Parliamentary Budget Office (PBO), must be implemented to enhance legislative oversight and ensure a more democratic financial governance framework.

The Budget as a Pillar of Democracy

- The Budget serves as the financial blueprint of a nation, determining the allocation of resources and setting the government's economic and social priorities.
- Historically, legislative control over public finance has been a cornerstone of democratic governance, preventing executive overreach.
- Across the world, different democracies have varying degrees of legislative influence over budgetmaking, some parliaments actively draft and modify budget proposals, while others merely approve government proposals with limited scrutiny.
- Despite these differences, one principle remains consistent: greater parliamentary engagement in budgetary matters correlates with improved economic stability and social outcomes.
- An Analysis of Structural Weakness in India's Budgetary Process

Executive Monopoly Over Budget Formulation

- Unlike other legislative proposals, the Budget is drafted almost entirely within the Finance Ministry, with minimal input from Parliament.
- The executive, particularly the finance minister and senior bureaucrats, play the dominant role in budgetary planning, while even Cabinet Ministers remain largely uninformed until the final presentation.

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.



- This secrecy stands in contrast to democratic practices in many developed nations where the legislature is actively engaged in budget formulation. For example:
- In the United States, congressional committees engage in extensive pre-Budget discussions, and the President's budget proposal is subjected to rigorous debate and scrutiny before approval.
- In Germany and Sweden, parliamentary committees assess budget proposals well before they are finalised, ensuring that lawmakers have a meaningful role in shaping financial policies.
- In India, however, the absence of legislative involvement in the early stages of budget-making weakens Parliament's ability to shape fiscal policies.
- By the time the Budget is presented, its core framework is already established, leaving little room for meaningful amendments or deliberations.

Limited Time for Debate and Review

´ GURUKULAM 🔧 IAS

- Once the Budget is presented in the Lok Sabha, it is expected to be debated, scrutinised, and approved within a limited timeframe.
- However, parliamentary discussions on budgetary allocations are often rushed and superficial.
- The government typically presents the Budget in early February, and Parliament is expected to pass it before the end of March.
- Given the extensive details of financial allocations across various sectors, this short window leaves little opportunity for rigorous analysis.

Weak Role of Parliamentary Committees

- While parliamentary standing committees are intended to provide oversight, their influence over the Budget remains limited.
- The Departmentally Related Standing Committees (DRSCs) do review demands for grants from various ministries, but their recommendations are not binding.
- The government is free to disregard their suggestions, rendering committee scrutiny largely ineffective.
- In contrast, legislative committees in countries such as Canada, Australia, and the United Kingdom play a stronger role in budget analysis.
- These nations have dedicated budget offices that provide legislators with independent economic research, ensuring that parliamentary committees can offer informed recommendations.

The Marginal Role of Rajya Sabha

- Another structural weakness in India's budgetary process is the limited role of the Rajya Sabha (Upper House) in financial matters.
- While the Rajya Sabha is a key legislative body responsible for reviewing laws and policies, it has little authority over budgetary decisions.
- According to Article 110 of the Indian Constitution, the Budget is classified as a Money Bill, meaning it is primarily the domain of the Lok Sabha (Lower House).

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.





Once the Lok Sabha passes the Budget, the Rajya Sabha can only discuss it but cannot amend or reject it.

No Power to Modify the Budget

- Perhaps the most significant limitation on Parliament's role is the inability to modify or amend the Budget in any meaningful way.
- While members of Parliament (MPs) can raise objections and suggest changes, they cannot directly alter expenditure or taxation proposals.
- Unlike in countries such as France and Sweden, where legislators have the authority to propose alternative spending allocations, Indian MPs lack such power.
- Even within the Lok Sabha, opposition parties often find it difficult to push for budgetary amendments, as the ruling party typically enjoys a majority and can pass the Budget with minimal resistance.

Required Reforms to Address these Structural Weaknesses

The Need for Pre-Budget Discussions

- One crucial reform to enhance parliamentary involvement in budgeting is the institutionalisation of pre-Budget discussions.
- These discussions, held during the monsoon session, would allow legislators to assess the nation's fiscal health, outline budget priorities, and contribute to economic planning.
- A dedicated five to seven-day debate period would enable parliamentarians to voice public concerns, advocate for equitable resource allocation, and provide policy recommendations for the government's consideration.
- Pre-Budget discussions would also encourage better coordination among subject committees, fostering informed and holistic decision-making.

Establishing a Parliamentary Budget Office (PBO)

- Currently, India lacks an institutional mechanism that provides parliamentarians with independent and non-partisan budgetary analysis.
- A PBO, modelled after institutions such as the U.S. Congressional Budget Office and similar bodies in Australia, Canada, and the United Kingdom, would bridge this gap by offering data-driven insights and expert economic forecasts.
- A well-structured PBO would play a crucial role in analysing government expenditures, revenue projections, and fiscal policies.
- It would conduct independent economic assessments, evaluate medium- and long-term budgetary trends, and provide parliamentarians with research-backed policy briefs

Reclaiming Parliamentary Authority in Budget-Making

The current budgetary process in India diminishes the role of elected representatives, undermining democratic principles.

No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.



- By integrating pre-Budget discussions and establishing a PBO, Parliament can transition from being a passive approver of financial proposals to an active participant in economic policy-making.
- These reforms are not just procedural improvements but fundamental steps toward strengthening representative democracy.
- They would ensure that budgetary decisions reflect collective deliberation rather than executive fiat, leading to more equitable economic policies and greater public trust in financial governance.

Conclusion

GURUKULAM

- A truly democratic budgetary process requires more than just executive efficiency; it demands active legislative participation and oversight.
- The current system in India, which marginalises Parliament's role, needs urgent reforms to restore balance and accountability.
- Institutionalising pre-Budget discussions and establishing a PBO would significantly enhance Parliament's capacity to shape economic policy, ensuring a more transparent, democratic, and effective governance framework.
- By reclaiming its rightful authority over public finance, Parliament can reinforce its role as the guardian of India's economic and democratic integrity.



No: 1521, Second Floor, H-Block, 5th Street, Anna Nagar, Chennai-40.